

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

11 POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,
12 a Delaware corporation,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
16 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware
17 corporation, FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
18 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and
SYSTEM GENERAL CORPORATION,
a Taiwanese corporation,

19 Defendants.
20

Case No. 3:09-cv-05235-MMC

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
POWER INTEGRATIONS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL**

Re. Dkt. No. 1049


21 Plaintiff Power Integrations, Inc. ("Power Integrations") filed an Administrative Motion to
22 File Under Seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 with this Court on April 10, 2017, seeking
23 permission to maintain under seal portions of its Opposition to Fairchild's Motion Requesting a
24 Stay of Execution and Waiver of Any Bond Requirement and the Declaration of Jonathan D.
25 Putnam in Support of Power Integration's Opposition to Fairchild's Motion Requesting a Stay of
26 Execution and Waiver of Any Bond Requirement. Defendants filed a declaration in support thereof.

27 Good cause having been shown, the Court hereby GRANTS Power Integrations' request to
28 have the designated portions of the following document remain sealed:

- Power Integrations’ Opposition to Fairchild’s Motion Requesting a Stay of Execution and Waiver of Any Bond Requirement (“Power Integrations’ Opposition”).
- Declaration of Jonathan D. Putnam in Support of Power Integrations’ Opposition (“Putnam Declaration”)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 19, 2017


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

51017142.doc